1 2	CV3 LEGAL CHARLES VLASIC III, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11308 197 E. California Ave., Ste. 302		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: (702) 551-1178		
4	Facsimile: (702) 551-1178 cvlasic@cv3legal.com		
5	REID RUBINSTEIN & BOGATZ		
6	I. SCOTT BOGATZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3367		
7	BRAD LIPMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14567		
8	300 South 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 830 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
9	Telephone: (702) 776-7000 Facsimile: (702) 776-7900		
10	sbogatz@rrblf.com blipman@rrblf.com		
11 12	Attorneys for Defendant/ Counterclaimant/Third-Party Plaintiff, Ka Tat Au-Yeung		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
15	MAGMA HOLDING, INC., a Nevada corporation; and META LAB, INC. a		
16	Nevada corporation,	Case No.: 2:20-cv-00406-RFB-BNW	
17	Plaintiffs,		
18	VS.		
19	KA TAT "KARTER" AU-YEUNG, an individual,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PROTOCOL	
20	Defendant.	GOVERNING PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED	
21		INFORMATION	
22	AND ALL RELATED MATTERS.		
23	Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants MAGMA HOLDING, INC. and META LAB, INC.		
24	("Plaintiffs") and Defendant/Counterclaimant/Third-Party Plaintiff KA TAT "KARTER" AU-		
25	YEUNG ("Defendant") by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and		
26	agree to the following protocol for the production of Discoverable Documents and		
27	Electronically Stored Information ("Protoco	ol"). Plaintiffs and Defendant are collectively	
28			

referred to herein as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party".

1. **Definitions**: In this Stipulation, the following terms have the following meanings:

- a. "ESI" means Electronically Stored Information, including, but not limited to, email, attachments to email, other electronic documents such as word processing, spreadsheet, PowerPoint, HTML, and text files and any other files stored in an electronic format.
- b. "Metadata" means: (i) information embedded in a Native Format file that is not ordinarily viewable or printable from the application that generated, edited or modified such Native Format file; and (ii) information generated automatically by the operation of a computer or other information technology system when a Native Format file is created, modified, transmitted, deleted or otherwise manipulated by a user of such system. Metadata is a subset of ESI.
- c. "Native Format" means ESI in the electronic format of the application in which such ESI is normally created, viewed and/or modified.
- 2. Scope: The Parties agree that each producing Party is best situated to evaluate the procedures, methodologies, and technologies appropriate for preservation, collection, and review of their own ESI. Accordingly, this Stipulation shall govern only the actual production of ESI and shall in no way affect the Parties' respective rights and obligations concerning the preservation, collection, and review of ESI. All Parties preserve their attorney-client privileges and other privileges, and there is no intent by this Stipulation, or the production of documents pursuant to this Stipulation, to in any way waive or weaken these privileges. Nothing in this Stipulation shall limit the Parties' respective rights and obligations concerning confidential, proprietary or private information, with respect to which they may make such agreements or Stipulations as they see fit, subject to applicable law. All documents produced pursuant to this Stipulation are fully protected and covered by the Parties' confidentiality agreements, and

- 3. General Format of Production. Subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, documents that originally existed in electronic form that are produced in these proceedings shall be produced in electronic image form (described below) in the manner provided herein. Documents that originally existed in paper form may be produced in an electronic image form in the manner provided herein, produced in a paper form or made available for initial examination as outlined in paragraph 4.
- 4. <u>Initial Examination of Paper Records.</u> The Producing Party reserves the right to make certain sets of paper documents available for initial examination by the Requesting Party pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1). Within 30 days from that reservation, the Requesting Party will select those documents it wishes to have produced. The Producing Party reserves the right to review and screen for privilege or protection any document that is selected for production. The Producing Party may withhold from that production any privileged document and identify the privileged document on a privilege log. The parties agree that the Producing Party is not waiving, and the Requesting Party will not argue that the Producing Party has waived, any claims of attorney-client privilege, attorney work product protection, or any other privilege or protection, including protections enumerated in the Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order, by making documents available for examination. In the event the Producing Party becomes aware of an inadvertent disclosure/production of privileged information or a privileged document, the Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order entered into by the Parties in this matter shall govern.
- 5. <u>Document Image Format.</u> The Producing Party shall make good faith efforts to conform to the specifications in this section based on the party's technological capabilities. Document images produced in electronic form will be produced in single- or multi-page Tagged Image File Format ("TIFFs" or ".tiff format"). All images generated from hard copy documents shall be scanned as black and white images at least 300 d.p.i. resolution and shall be saved and

Where Meta-data is relevant or likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and in balancing the needs and economics of the Action, Meta-data for documents generated from native electronic documents shall be provided as set forth in Paragraph 12 below. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this paragraph, the parties recognize that as the case progresses it may be appropriate to allow the parties to produce certain files (*e.g.*, Excel, Lotus 123, or other spreadsheets; e-mails; reports from databases) in either (i) native electronic form or (ii) in a Group 4 compression single "TIFF" image, due to undue burden or cost for a Producing Party. Thus, the parties agree to meet and confer in such circumstances in order to reach a reasonable, alternative form of production. Should the parties be unable to resolve an alternative form of production, the Party seeking the alternative form of production may bring a noticed motion before the Court demonstrating good cause and a specific need for the alternative form of production.

6. **<u>Document Unitization</u>**. If hard copy documents are scanned into an electronic form, the unitization of the document and any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be

- 7. Color. If the Requesting Party believes that a document is not legible or where the absence of color materially affects the document, the Requesting Party may request that either the production of an original document for inspection and copying or production of a color image of the document. The Requesting Party agrees to pay for reasonable costs associated with the color scanning and production of color images of documents already produced.
- 8. **Duplicates.** Where a party has more than one identical copy of an electronic document (*i.e.*, the documents are visually the same and contain the same electronic text), the Producing Party need only produce a single copy of that document. The Parties shall use commercially acceptable methods (*e.g.*, MD5 or SHA-1 hash values) to identify duplicate ESI and globally de-duplicate ESI. In the case of emails, the hash value shall be calculated on the concatenated value of the following fields: to, from, cc, bcc, date and time sent, attachment names, email subject, full body of the email, MD5 hash values of attachments. Family groups, e.g., an email and its attachments, shall be de-duplicated only against other family groups as entities, and no document which is not part of a family group shall be de-duplicated against a member of a family group. However, the foregoing is without prejudice to a party's ability to seek production of multiple instances of an electronic message sent to one or multiple recipients where relevant attachments to e-mail shall be produced pursuant to this Protocol.
- 9. **Bates Numbering.** Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") electronically "burned" onto the image at a location that does not unreasonably obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. No other legend or stamp will be placed on the document image other than a

- 10. File Naming Conventions. Each page image file shall be named with the unique Bates Number of the page of document, followed by the extension ".TIF" when available. In the event the Bates Number contains a symbol and/or character that cannot be included in a file name, the symbol and/or character will be omitted from the file name. Each native file shall retain its original file name, followed by the document-type extension (e.g., ".DOC", ".PDF", ".XLS", ".HTM", etc.)
- 11. **Production Media**. A Producing Party shall produce documents that it produces in an electronic image form pursuant to paragraph 3 on CD-ROM, DVD, external hard drive, via an FTP site, or such other readily accessible computer or electronic media as the parties may hereafter agree upon (the "Production Media"). If the Producing Party encrypts or "locks" the production, the Producing Party shall include with the production an explanation of how to decrypt the files.
- 12. <u>Metadata.</u> To the extent a Producing Party extracts any of the below metadata fields associated with its production, the Producing Party will make a reasonable effort to produce the following metadata fields, to the extent applicable, to the Requesting Party with a load file with each production:

Field Name	Field Description	
Begin Bates	Production number of the first page of the document.	
End Bates	Production number of the last page of the document.	
Begin Attachment	Production number of the first page of the first document of	
	the document family.	
End Attachment	Production number of the last page of the last document of	
	the document family.	
Custodian	the document family.	
AllCustodians	Field identifying all the custodians who possessed copies of	
Ancustodians	the documents.	
То	All recipients that were included on the "To" line of the email.	
	The name and e-mail address sender of the e-mail.	
From		
CC	All recipients that were included on the "CC" line of the e-	
	mail.	
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the e-	
	mail.	
Date Received	The date/time an e-mail was received.	
Date Created	The date/time an e-mail or e-file was created.	
Date Last Modified	Date the document was last modified.	
Hash	MD5hash value of document or "de-duplication key."	
File Name	The file name of the document, including file extension	
Author	Author of document	
Subject	Document subject line of an e-mail.	
Orig_File Name	The original file name of an electronic document or an	
	attachment to an Email.	
File_Ext	The file extension of a document.	
File_Size	The file size of a document (including embedded	
	attachments).	
FilePath	The full path to the file at its original location.	
NativeFilePath	The path to the corresponding native file included with a	
	production volume.	

## Case 2:20-cv-00406-RFB-BNW Document 115 Filed 07/24/20 Page 8 of 15

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Text Path	The relative path to the corresponding OCR or extracted text	
	file included with a production volume.text file included with	
	a production.	
Redactions	Whether the document contains redactions or not.	
Confidentiality	If the document is designated as confidential, the designation	
Confidentiality	should be listed here.	
Track Changes	Track changes made to document.	
INTERNETMSGID	Internet message ID assigned by the outgoing mail server which typically includes messageid and a domain name. Example: 0E6648D558F338179524D555@m1p.innovy.net	
MESSAGEID	Unique identifier of email messages in mail stores. EntryID for Microsoft Outlook, the UniqueID (UNID) for Lotus Notes, or equivalent value for other proprietary mail store formats.	
INREPLYTOID	Internet message ID of the Email being replied to.	
CONVERSATIONINDEX	Conversation index value for Microsoft Exchange emails.	
TXT-CHATROOMNAME	Name of chat room used in the communications.	
TXT-PARTICIPANTS	List of participant names and/or telephone numbers.	
TXT-STATUS	ΓUS Indicates whether text was Sent or Read on the device.	
TXT-THREAD-GROUP	Populate with the DOCID of the first text in a conversation or chat.	

Alternatively, a Producing Party can satisfy its obligations under the provision above by providing information sufficient to identify any attachments to the documents produced. The meta-data listed above shall be labeled and produced on Production Media and shall be provided in a manner suitable for importing the information in a commercially available document management or litigation support software such as Relativity or Concordance.

For avoidance of doubt, the obligation to produce metadata pursuant to this paragraph is triggered only where such metadata information is available and extraction would not constitute an undue burden or expense. Where such metadata information is not available and/or not capable of extraction without undue burden/expense, this paragraph shall not impose any obligations on any Producing Party.

## 13. **Load File Formats.**

## a. Image Load Files

- i. ESI will be produced in Concordance or another text delimited standard and custom load file format. Upon request and demonstration of need, the Parties will meet and confer to discuss production in an alternative load file format or to produce without load files.
- ii. Every document referenced in a production image load file shall have all corresponding images, text, and data. Redacted text shall not be included in a redacted document's text file.
- iii. The name of the image load files shall mirror the name of the delivery volume, and should have an .opt or .log file extension (*e.g.*, ABC001.0PT or ABC001 .LOG).
- iv. The volume names shall be consecutive (*e.g.*, ABC001, ABC002, *et. seq.*).
- v. Each folder will have a limit of 1,000 files per folder.

	11
<	12
	13
H > H	14
	15
(1)	16
( )	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

vi.	Every image in the delivery volume shall be contained in the image
	load file

- vii. The image key shall be named the same as the production number of the page.
- viii. Load files shall not span across media (e.g., CDs, DVDs, Hard Drives, etc.); instead, a separate volume shall be created for each piece of media delivered.
  - ix. Each image load file shall be produced in a standard litigation support image load format (e.g., .opt or .log) providing:
    - The document number for each image;
    - The relative path name(s) of each TIFF image file; and
    - 3. The document boundaries for each document.

## b. Data Load Files

- i. Metadata fields that are not applicable to a document or are NULL shall be left with null values and not populated with fillers or spaces.
- All date fields shall be produced in "mm/dd/yyyy" format.
- iii. All time fields shall be produced in "hh:mm:ss" format.
- iv. Load files shall not span across media (e.g., CDs, DVDs, Hard Drives, etc.); instead, a separate volume shall be created for each piece of media delivered.
- The name of the metadata load file shall mirror the name of the delivery volume, and shall have a .dat or .txt extension (e.g., ABC001.DAT or ABC001.TXT)
- vi. The volume names shall be consecutive (e.g., ABCOO 1, ABCOO2, et. seq.).
- vii. Each folder will have a limit of 1,000 files per folder.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- viii. The metadata fields listed above (as pertain to particular categories of documents pursuant to this Protocol) shall be included in the delimited database load files, to the extent such metadata is already in existence and reasonably accessible. To the extent that metadata does not exist, is not reasonably accessible or available, or would be unduly burdensome to collect, nothing in this Protocol shall require any party to extract, capture, collect or produce such metadata.
  - To the extent that the metadata listed above relating to any ESI contains information subject to a claim of privilege or any other applicable protection, that metadata may be redacted or withheld, as appropriate, and the Producing Party shall include information regarding the basis and justification for withholding or redacting such information in its privilege log.
- 14. To the extent a response to discovery requires production of Databases. discoverable electronic information contained in a database, the parties shall meet and confer about the extent of the Producing Party's obligations in relation to the same. Prior to any such meet and confer, the Producing Party will provide sufficient information to enable the Receiving Party to evaluate the best method and format of production, including such information as the database or data store name, business purpose, database or data source owner, database schema/field list, standard reports, export capabilities, and all manuals including but not limited to administrator, programmer and user manuals.
- 15. <u>Production of Other Electronic Documents.</u> This Order only applies to the production of the following categories of electronic documents in accordance with the provisions herein: databases, e-mails (and any associated attachments), word processing documents, spreadsheets, presentations, and imaged documents (in any format). The Parties shall meet and confer to agree on the form of any production of electronic documents other than the foregoing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 16. **Exceptions to Protocol.** If the forms of production allowed by this protocol present an undue burden or cost for a Producing Party, the parties shall meet and confer to agree on a reasonable, alternative form of production. Any Party may file a motion to seek individual relief from this protocol.
- 17. Use of Documents. When documents produced in accordance with this Order are used in any proceeding herein, including depositions, hearings, or trial, the image copy of documents as described in Paragraph 5 shall be the copy used.
- 18. **Privilege Logs.** The Producing Parties will produce privilege logs in Excel, Word, or a similar electronic format that allows text searching and organization of data. A Producing Party will produce a privilege log within 14 days after the completion of its document production. The production of a privilege log for a custodian will be not less than 10 days prior to a custodian's deposition. The parties may modify the deadlines for production of privilege logs by agreement.

When there is a chain of privileged e-mails, the Producing Party must log each email contained within the chain separately. Distinct claims of privilege within an email chain must be separately identified, and parties shall be required to produce any non-privileged emails or information contained within the chain.

To the extent available, the privilege log will contain the following metadata fields: the custodian, document type (e.g., email, Word document, Excel spreadsheet, etc.), date last modified or sent, file name, and, if an email or email chain, the sender(s) and the recipient(s) (to, cc, and bcc). The Producing Party will also include on the log a control number, a privilege description, and privilege assertion. If a party redacts a responsive document, that party will provide a redaction log identifying each document that contains a redaction and the reason for the redaction.

The process for objections shall be governed by the Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order entered into by the Parties in this matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Costs of Production. The Parties reserve the right to seek cost shifting as 19. appropriate at a later time.
- 20. **Discovery and Admissibility.** Nothing in this Order shall be construed to affect the discoverability or admissibility of any document or data. All objections to the discoverability or admissibility of any document or data are preserved and may be asserted at any time.
- 21. Limitations; Non-Waiver and Claw-Back Agreement. The Parties and their attorneys do not intend by the instant Document Production Protocol to waive their rights to any protection or privilege, including the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine, or their rights to object to any discovery requests.

Upon written request by a party producing or disclosing information ("Disclosing Party" or "Producing Party") which the Disclosing or Producing Party considers to be protected by a privilege (e.g., attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, etc.) ("Privileged Information"), the party receiving such information ("Receiving Party") hereby agrees to promptly return, sequester, or destroy any Privileged Information disclosed or produced by the Disclosing or Producing Party upon such written request. Said written request must include a privilege log (or an update/supplement to previous privilege log) for such Privilege Information. The Receiving Party may sequester (rather than return or destroy) such Privileged Information only if it contends that the information itself is not privileged or otherwise protected and it challenges the privilege designation, in which case it may only sequester the information until the claim of privilege or other protection is resolved. If any party disputes the privilege claim ("Objecting Party"), that Objecting Party shall object in writing by notifying the Producing Party of the dispute and the basis therefore. The parties thereafter shall meet and confer in good faith regarding the disputed claim within ten (10) court days after service of the written objection. In the event that the parties do not resolve their dispute, the Objecting Party may bring a motion for a determination of whether a privilege applies within ten (10) court days of the meet and confer session, but may only contest the asserted privileges on grounds other than the inadvertent production of such document(s). In making such a motion, the Objecting Party shall not disclose the content of the document(s) at issue, but may refer to the information contained on the privilege log and may submit a sealed copy of the document(s) at issue to the Court for in camera review. Nothing herein shall relieve counsel from abiding by applicable ethical rules regarding inadvertent disclosure and discovery of inadvertently disclosed privileged or otherwise protected material. The failure of any party to provide notice or instructions under this Paragraph shall not constitute a waiver of, or estoppel as to, any claim of attorney-client privilege, attorney work product, or other ground for withholding production as to which the Disclosing or Producing Party would be entitled in this action.

10 ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 ...

12 ...

13 ...

14 ...

15 ...

16 ...

17 | . . .

18 ...

19 ...

20 ...

21 ...

22 ...

23 . . .

24 | . . .

25 | . . .

26 ...

27 ...

1	22. <u>Confidentiality.</u> The confidentiali	ty of ESI produced	
2	this ESI Protocol is governed by the Stipulated Confidentiality Agreeme		
3	entered into by the Parties in this matter.		
4	Dated this 21st day of July, 2020.	Dated this 21st day	
5	By: <u>/s/ Ryan Lower</u>	By: <u>/s/ Charles Vl</u>	
6	MORRIS LAW GROUP Ryan M. Lower, NSBN 9108	CV3 LEGAL Charles Vlasic	
7 8	Raliegh C. Thompson, NSBN 11296 411 E. Bonneville Ave, Ste. 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	197 E. Californ Las Vegas, Nev	
9	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &	REID RUBINS Scott Bogatz, N	
10	HAMPTON LLP Rena Andoh, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	Brad Lipman, 1 300 S. 4th Stre	
11	Jeffrey T. Kern, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Danielle M. Thompson, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	Las Vegas, Nev	
12	30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112-0015	Attorneys for Counterclaimant/Th KA TAT AU-YEU	
13	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &	MATAT ACTEO	
14	HAMPTON LLP Isaiah Z. Weedn, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> 650 Town Center Drive, 10th Floor		
15	Costa Mesa, California 92626-1993		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants MAGMA HOLDING, INC. and META LAB, INC.		
17			
18	ORDEI	₹	
19	ORDE	•	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21	<u>De</u>	- bwef	
22	UNIT	ED STATES MAGI	
23	DATE	E: July 24, 2020	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

in accordance with the ent and Protective Order of July, 2020. asic III, NSBN 11308 nia Ave, Ste. 302 vada 89104 STEIN & BOGATZ NSBN 3367 NSBN 14567 et, Ste. 830 vada 89101 or Defendant/ hird-Party Plaintiff NG

STRATE JUDGE